p62-containing, proteolytically active nuclear condensates, increase the efficiency of the ubiquitin–proteasome system
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Degradation of a protein by the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) is a multistep process catalyzed by sequential reactions. Initially, ubiquitin is conjugated to the substrate in a process mediated by concerted activity of three enzymes: the last of them—a ubiquitin ligase (E3)—belongs to a family of several hundred members, each recognizing a few specific substrates. This is followed by repeated addition of ubiquitin moieties to the previously conjugated one to generate a ubiquitin chain that serves as a recognition element for the proteasome, which then degrades the substrate. Ubiquitin is recycled via the activity of deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs). It stands to reason that efficiency of such a complex process would depend on colocalization of the different components in an assembly that allows the reactions to be carried out sequentially and processively. Here we describe nuclear condensates that are dynamic in their composition. They contain p62 as an essential component. These assemblies are generated by liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS) and also contain ubiquitinated targets, 26S proteasome, the three conjugating enzymes, and DUBs. Under basal conditions, they serve as efficient centers for proteolysis of nuclear proteins (e.g., c-Myc) and unassembled subunits of the proteasome, suggesting they are involved in cellular protein quality control. Supporting this notion is the finding that such foci are also involved in degradation of misfolded proteins induced by heat and oxidative stresses, following recruitment of heat shock proteins and their associated ubiquitin ligase CHIP.

An important, yet unsolved question is how cells recruit the appropriate reactants involved in biochemical reactions, to a defined location at a specific time to increase the reaction efficiency and processivity. This is particularly relevant for sequential transformations along cascades that are catalyzed by different enzymes and complexes, such as degradation of a protein by the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS). Separation of the interior milieu of membrane-bound organelles (e.g., nucleus, Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria, and lysosomes) from the surrounding environment enables the cells to control the spatial distribution of specific reactions and their components. However, this compartmentalization makes it difficult to allow exchange of components necessary for providing high specificity, for example. In addition, cells contain supramolecular assemblies that are not bound by surrounding membranes and can be found both in the cytoplasm (such as the centriole, P bodies, and stress granules) and the nucleus (such as nucleoli, promyelocytic leukemia [PML] bodies, Cajal bodies, and nuclear speckles) (1, 2).

Emerging evidence shows that many membraneless biomolecular condensates are formed by liquid–liquid phase separation (LLPS) (3). These condensates provide a different environment from the surrounding cytoplasm or nucleoplasm and probably have an important role in the concentration of biomolecules, thus allowing efficient multistep processes to occur. In addition, it allows for rapid exchange of specific components—such as ubiquitin ligases—to occur and thus allowing accommodation to changing pathophysiological conditions and the requirement for high specificity of different processes. Recently, it was shown that p62, also known as Sequestosome-1 (SQSTM-1), forms spherical liquid-like condensates (bodies) both in the cytosol and in a cell-free system (4). Formation of these condensates is mediated by the ability of the protein to oligomerize into helical filaments through its N-terminal PBI (Phox and Bem1) domain, and to bind ubiquitin (Ub) chains attached to target substrates via its C-terminal UBA (ubiquitin-associated) domain (5). The efficiency of p62 condensate formation was shown to be strongly dependent on the length of the Ub chains attached to the substrates (6).

Cytosolic p62 condensates play an important role in maintaining protein homeostasis and quality control by their ability to sequester ubiquitinated proteins and shuttle them for degradation by the autophagy–lysosome system. This is mediated via direct interaction of the p62’s LIR motif with the autophagosome-incorporated LC3 (7). In addition to its role in autophagy, p62 was shown to deliver cytosolic ubiquitinated substrates to the proteasome, by direct interaction of its PBI domain with the 19S Rpn1 and Rpn10 proteasomal subunits (8).

Significance

Multistep reactions, such as degradation of proteins by the ubiquitin–proteasome system, require that the different components will be colocalized to an assembly that allows catalysis of all steps in an efficient and processive manner. In the absence of colocalization, floating of individual components around the cell would slow the cascade reactions. We demonstrated that such assemblies are generated in the nucleus both under basal and stressed conditions and play a role in efficient degradation of nuclear proteins, unassembled units of the proteasome, and unfolded proteins, suggesting they are part of the protein quality-control mechanism and help in preventing cellular damage. The findings suggest that other multicomponent pathways can also be assembled into condensates to allow their efficient activity.
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p62 is widely distributed in the cell, and its nucleocytoplasmic shuttling is mediated by two nuclear localization signals (NLS1 and NLS2) and one nuclear export signal (NES) (9). In addition to its cytosolic functions, p62 forms nuclear bodies that exist both as separate and as hybrid structures by interacting with PML or Cajal bodies (10). Since autophagy occurs exclusively in the cytosol, the role and biological significance of nuclear p62 have remained elusive. In the present study we show that p62 is involved in the formation of nuclear LLP-separated foci, which recruit components of the UPS and protein substrates, resulting in their efficient degradation under both basal and stressed conditions.

Results

Formation of Nuclear p62-Containing Condensates Is Mediated by LLPS and Depends on the Presence of Ubiquitin Adducts. p62 shuttles continuously between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, and a fraction of the protein is found in foci (9). Formation of cytosolic p62 foci is mediated by LLPS and characterized by dynamic exchange of p62 between liquid droplets and the surrounding environment (4, 6). As for nuclear foci, the mechanism of their formation and functions are still unknown. To study the nature of nuclear p62 foci, we stained HeLa cells with an anti-p62 antibody. While under basal conditions, two-dimensional (2D) analysis demonstrated the presence of a few foci (Fig. 1A, Left column, and SI Appendix, Fig. S1A), three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of nuclei from several cell line enabled the detection of numerous foci (Fig. 1B, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/control panels, and Movie S1). Following treatment with Leptomycin B (LMB), an inhibitor of nuclear protein export, we observed accumulation of p62 in the nucleus that resulted in an increase in the number and size of p62 foci (Fig. 1A, Right column, and Fig. 1B, LMB panels; SI Appendix, Fig. S1B and Movie S2). To study the mechanism of nuclear p62 condensates formation and dynamics, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate p62 knockout (KO) HeLa cells. This cell was transfected (where indicated) with a cDNA coding for a p62 mutant that lacks its nuclear exit signal (FLAG- or GFP-tagged p62ΔNES) which resulted in concentration of p62 in the nucleus. The purpose of this approach was to avoid treatment with LMB that would have resulted in nuclear accumulation of different NES-harboring cellular proteins (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C, i). As can be seen in Fig. 2B and 2C and D, i–iv and Movie S6, the nuclear proteasome is located in the periphery of the condensates, forming an outer shell-like structure around p62, which generates either an inner shell or is distributed in the core of the condensate (Fig. 2D, v–viii and Movie S6). This inner shell surrounds the Ub conjugates, which constitute the core of the condensate (Fig. 2D, v–viii). However, no shell-like structure formation was detected in the p62KO cells that were not transfected with p62, and the nuclear proteasome was mostly evenly distributed (Fig. 2B, Upper Left), suggesting that p62 is essential in recruiting the proteasome to the condensates. Importantly, we found that subunits from the two subcomplexes of the 20S proteasome—the 20S (catalytic particle [CP]; α6) and the 19S (regulatory particle [RP]; Rpn1)—accumulate around the p62 condensates (Fig. 2E), suggesting it is the intact 20S proteasome complex that is recruited. To study whether the proteasome recruited to the p62 condensates is proteolytically active, we used a fluorescent proteasome activity probe (13). We found that in p62KO + p62ΔNES cells, the fluorescent probe was also detected around the p62 condensates (Fig. 2F), strongly suggesting the proteasome that surrounds the condensates is active. To identify which domain of p62 is responsible for the recruitment of the proteasome, we constructed two p62ΔNES mutants, inhibited by the proteasome inhibitor MG132. Specifically, we observed a decrease in K48-based Ub chains (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, ii, first column) with a concomitant decrease in the number and size of nuclear p62 condensates (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, ii, second column). The disappearance of the K48-based conjugates was also inhibited by MG132 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, ii, third line to second line from the Top). Importantly, CHX did not affect p62 protein level in the LMB-treated cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, i, Middle), suggesting that its effect on the disappearance of p62 foci is indirect and attributed to the loss of Ub conjugates. In agreement with the effect of CHX on p62 foci disappearance rather than on the quantity of the protein, is the observation that it causes an even distribution of the protein in the nucleus (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, ii, second column, Middle). Immune staining of p62KO + p62ΔNES cells with antibodies that recognize specific linkages in Ub chains revealed that besides K48-based chains (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E, ii, SIF, i–iii), p62 condensates also contain K63-based chains (SI Appendix, Fig. S1G).

As for the dynamics of p62 condensates, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of p62KO cells reconstituted with GFP-p62ΔNES, showed a rapid recovery of the foci completed ~30 s after their bleaching (Fig. 1E). This finding suggests that the foci are not intranuclear inclusion bodies or aggregates, which either have longer recovery time or do not recover at all (12). Interestingly, the recovery of nuclear p62 foci was much faster than that of their cytosolic counterparts (as reported in ref. 4), suggesting that they differ from one another, probably physically. Additionally, we detected fusion of small foci into larger ones (Fig. 1F and Movies S4 and S5).

Components of the UPS Accumulate in p62 Condensates. In order to study a possible role for p62 nuclear condensates in proteolysis, we searched for components of the UPS. p62 is known to deliver ubiquitinated proteins to the proteasome by direct interaction of its PB1 domain with the 19S Rpn1 and Rpn10 proteasomal subunits (8). Thus, we tested whether the proteasome is recruited to these condensates. HeLa cells were treated with LMB, and the proteasome was detected by immunofluorescent (IF) staining, using initially an anti-α6 (a 20S subunit) antibody. As can be seen in Fig. 2A, the LMB-induced p62 condensates (note that the p62 was endogenous), also contain endogenous proteasome. To further dissect this finding, we overexpressed FLAG-tagged p62ΔNES in p62KO cells. As can be seen in Fig. 2B and C and D, i–iv and Movie S6, the nuclear proteasome is located in the periphery of the condensates, forming an outer shell-like structure around p62, which generates either an inner shell or is distributed in the core of the condensate (Fig. 2D, v–viii). However, no shell-like structure formation was detected in the p62KO cells that were not transfected with p62, and the nuclear proteasome was mostly evenly distributed (Fig. 2B, Upper Left), suggesting that p62 is essential in recruiting the proteasome to the condensates. Importantly, we found that subunits from the two subcomplexes of the 26S proteasome—the 20S (catalytic particle [CP]; α6) and the 19S (regulatory particle [RP]; Rpn1) accumulate around the p62 condensates (Fig. 2E), suggesting it is the intact 26S proteasome complex that is recruited. To study whether the proteasome recruited to the p62 condensates is proteolytically active, we used a fluorescent proteasome activity probe (13). We found that in p62KO + p62ΔNES cells, the fluorescent probe was also detected around the p62 condensates (Fig. 2F), strongly suggesting the proteasome that surrounds the condensates is active. To identify which domain of p62 is responsible for the recruitment of the proteasome, we constructed two p62ΔNES mutants,
which lacked the PB1 or the UBA domain (p62ΔNESΔPB1 or p62ΔNESΔUBA, respectively). We found that while p62ΔNES and p62ΔNESΔUBA did interact with the proteasome, the deletion of PB1 abrogated this interaction (Fig. 2G). Furthermore, we found that the proteasome did not form the shell-like structures in cells reconstituted with either p62ΔNESΔPB1 or p62ΔNESΔUBA (Fig. 2H, second and third lines). That is in agreement with the role of the UBA domain in recruiting Ub ligase (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A, i and ii), and the role of the PB1 domain (and the Lys7 that resides within it) (14) in oligomerizing p62, which is essential for foci formation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B).

In addition, we identified the first (E1/UBA1), second (E2, ubiquitin-conjugating protein) (Fig. 2F), and third (E3, ubiquitin ligase) (see below) components in the conjugation cascade in the foci, raising the possibility that while conjugation occurs initially in the nucleoplasm, once the seed of conjugates is formed, it continues more efficiently in the foci. Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) were also identified in the foci (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C), which appear therefore to contain all the elements required for protein degradation and Ub recycling.

**Nuclear p62 Condensates Act as Active Proteolytic Sites that Accelerate the Proteasomal Degradation of Nuclear Proteins.** The finding that an active 26S proteasome is recruited to p62 condensates that contain ubiquitinated proteins, raised the obvious question of whether these foci act as proteolytic sites. To answer this question, we used a model fusion protein—NLS-GFP-CL1—in which the NLS directs GFP to the nucleus, and the CL1-degron destabilizes the protein and renders it an efficient proteasomal substrate (15). As can be seen in Fig. 3A, i, Upper line, besides the presence of NLS-GFP-CL1 in the nuclei of p62KO cells, we detected a significant accumulation of the protein in the nucleoli. Reconstitution of NLS-GFP-CL1–transfected p62KO cells with p62ΔNES, changed its distribution: the protein was recruited into p62 foci, which was accompanied by a significant reduction in its quantity in nucleoli and the nucleoplasm, most probably due to degradation in the p62 foci (Fig. 3A, i, Lower line and Fig. 3A, ii). Indeed, as can be seen in Fig. 3B, i and ii, and Movie S7, the presence of nuclear p62 (either in WT or in p62KO + p62ΔNES HeLa cells) stimulates significantly the degradation of the fusion protein. That is in comparison to cells that lack p62. In correlation with this finding, we observed that the level of the fusion protein in p62KO cells was higher than in cells that express p62 (Fig. 3B, i). Of note is that p62ΔNES was stable (Fig. 3B, iii). As expected, treatment of cells with MG132 abolished the degradation of nuclear GFP-CL1 (Fig. 3B, i). Similar findings were observed microscopically (Fig. 3C).

Since phase separation of p62 is mediated by its PB1 and UBA domains, we tested whether deletion of each of them—which prevents formation of p62 condensates—affects the degradation of nuclear GFP-CL1. We found that reconstitution of NLS-GFP-CL1–expressing p62KO cells with p62ΔNES, results

---

**Fig. 1.** Nuclear p62 condensates are formed via LLPS. (A) DMSO (Left) or LMB-treated (Right) HeLa cells were stained with a p62 antibody and Hoechst (Ho) and imaged using 2D confocal microscopy. Arrows point to nuclear p62 foci. (B) The indicated cells were treated with either DMSO (Upper two lines) or LMB (Lower two lines), and stained with anti-p62 and Ho. For highlighting nuclear p62, the cytosolic p62 was hidden by the software when only p62 was stained. (C) Time-lapse imaging of GFP-p62ΔNES condensates disruption and recovery following 1,6-HD treatment. (D) Live cell images of the indicated cell treated with the E1 inhibitor MLN-7243. (E) (i) FRAP (Lower, red line surrounded circles) of p62 foci. Time-lapse images (Upper) were taken from bleached cells; (ii) quantification of fluorescence taken from 18 p62 condensates. (F) Time-lapse images of fusion of p62 condensates.
in a decrease in the level of nuclear GFP-CL1 (Fig. 3.3, i and ii, and iii, second line, second panel from Left). Such effect on condensation of the substrate could not be observed in the absence of either PB1 or UBA domains (Fig. 3.3, i and ii, third and fourth lines).

p62 Accelerates the Degradation of Unassembled Proteasomal Subunits. The proteasome is widely distributed in the cell and is predominantly located in the nucleus (16). It is composed of 33 distinct subunits, which are arranged in two subcomplexes—a 20S (CP) and a 19S (RP). The assembly of the proteasome is a complicated process, and an excess of unassembled proteasomal subunits is degraded by the UPS, following their ubiquitination (17, 18).

First, we studied whether p62 condensates play a role in recruiting these unincorporated subunits (as an example for proteins the cell does not need anymore). We stably overexpressed the β4-GFP 20S proteasomal subunit in p62KO cells. The expressed subunit was distributed throughout the cell with predominant nuclear localization (Fig. 4.A, Upper Left). Reconstitution of β4-GFP-expressing cells with p62ΔNES (Fig. 4.A, Lower line, two Left panels; and Fig. 4.B, Upper line) resulted in p62-containing, proteolytically active nuclear condensates, increase the efficiency of the ubiquitin–proteasome system.
accumulation of β4-GFP in p62 condensates. Additionally, we found that p62ΔNES directly interacts with β4-GFP (Fig. 4C).

Importantly, staining of the endogenous proteasome with anti-α6 antibody in p62ΔNES-expressing cells (Fig. 4A, Lower line, α6), revealed a different distribution pattern from that of the overexpressed β4-GFP in the p62 condensates: only the outer margin of the accumulated β4-GFP colocalized with the endogenous proteasome (which surrounds the condensates; see also Fig. 2B–E). This finding suggests that besides the fraction of GFP-β4 that has been incorporated into the proteasome, a significant portion of the overexpressed subunit has remained free/unincorporated, and localized to the core of the condensate. Since the proteasome is a stable complex with a half-life of approximately 1 wk, it was reasonable to assume that following CHX treatment, the unincorporated β4-GFP subunits will be degraded, while the subunits that are incorporated into the proteasome will remain stable. To test this hypothesis, we treated β4-GFP/p62ΔNES–transfected p62KO cells with CHX. Immunostaining revealed that following CHX treatment, only the inner part of the accumulated β4-GFP in the p62 condensates was degraded (Fig. 4B, second line, second panel from Left; SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, second line; and Movie S8), while its outer part remained stable and displayed a similar distribution to that of the endogenous proteasome. Further confirming these findings is the experiment depicted in Fig. 4D, i and ii. p62KO + p62ΔNES cells expressing GFP-β4 were treated with CHX. Western blot analysis revealed that a fraction of the GFP-β4 disappeared, but left behind a stable portion. When the cell lysates from the same experiment were now treated with anti-α6 antibody, and the entire proteasome was precipitated, the quantity of GFP-β4 in the CHX-treated and nontreated cells was identical, strongly suggesting that indeed, the β4 subunit is divided between two pools—a free unincorporated pool, which is unstable, and a stable...
proteasome-incorporated pool. In addition, treatment of cells with both MG132 and CHX prevented the degradation of β4-GFP (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A, compare Lower to Middle lines), confirming its proteasome-dependent degradation. Similar results were obtained for Rpn11-GFP, a 19S RP subunit (Fig. 4E and Movie S9). Next, we examined the requirement for nuclear p62 in the degradation of unincorporated β4-GFP. We found that the degradation of β4-GFP in p62KO cells was slower compared to both HeLa and p62KO + p62ΔNES cells (Fig. 4F and SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). In agreement with this finding, the steady-state level of β4-GFP was higher in p62KO cells compared with the

Fig. 4. Unincorporated proteasomal subunits are degraded more efficiently in nuclear p62 condensates. (A) Stably overexpressed β4-GFP is recruited to nuclear p62 foci in a p62ΔNES-dependent manner. The endogenous α6 subunit is localized to the periphery of these foci. (B) Degradation of β4-GFP in p62 foci following addition of CHX. (C) Lysates from cells described under A were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP and immunoblotted with anti-FLAG to demonstrate association between FLAG-p62ΔNES and β4-GFP. (D) The β4 proteasomal subunit serves both as a proteolytic substrate (i; Western blot of whole cell lysates) and as an incorporated/integral subunit of the proteasomal complex (ii; IP of whole lysates with anti-α6). (E) The Rpn11 subunit of the 19S subcomplex of the proteasome is degraded in what appears to be p62 foci in cells stably transfected with p62ΔNES. Time-lapse images were captured along the CHX treatment (images were taken from Movie S9). (F) (i) p62 stimulates proteasomal degradation of overexpressed β4-GFP; (ii) quantification of degradation data from three independent experiments. (G) (i) Effect of p62ΔNES, p62ΔNESΔPB1, and p62ΔNESΔUBA on the steady-state level of β4-GFP; (ii) p62 and its mutants have no effect on the level of the β4 subunit incorporated into the intact proteasome. (H) Ubiquitination of β4-GFP in the presence of p62ΔNES or its ΔPB1 and ΔUBA species. β4-GFP was immunoprecipitated, and the sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)-resolved proteins were blotted with anti-HA to detect the conjugated HA-Ub.
two other cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). Furthermore, we noted that the level of β4-GFP reached its minimal point 12 h after initiation of the CHX treatment and remained so until the end of the experiment after 20 h (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B), suggesting that the remaining β4-GFP represents the stable proteasome-incorporated fraction. When we reconstituted p62KO cells with either p62ΔNES or its ΔPB1 and ΔUBA mutants, only the intact protein could stimulate degradation of β4-GFP (Fig. 4G, i). Importantly, immunoprecipitation (IP) of the 20S proteasome from p62KO cells and from cells that were reconstituted with p62ΔNES and its ΔUBA and ΔPB1 deletion mutants, revealed no difference in the amount of the proteasome-incorporated β4-GFP subunit (Fig. 4G, ii), suggesting that p62 has no effect on the fate of β4 in the proteasome.

Since p62 recruits substrates through their Ub chains, we examined the ubiquitination state of overexpressed β4-GFP. We found that the subunits were ubiquitinated, and the level of the conjugates decreased in cells that were transfected with p62ΔNES (Fig. 4H), most probably due to their degradation. In contrast, expression of the two p62 mutants did not affect the level of the conjugates, and the p62ΔUBA domain even caused a slight increase in their level. This finding suggests that the UBA domain is more involved in recruitment of ubiquitinated targets, probably due to its higher affinity to Ub conjugates (Fig. 4I). It raises the possibility that the nature of the interaction of p62 with the unincorporated β4-GFP subunit and its recruitment to the p62 condensates as proteolytic substrates (mediated via its UBA domain), is different from its interaction with the intact proteasome (mediated via its PB1 domain) and its resulting recruitment to the condensate (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C [compare to Fig. 2G], SI Appendix, Fig. S2A, and Fig. 6D [see below]).

Nuclear p62 Stimulates Degradation of c-Myc. Next, we examined whether nuclear p62 condensates are involved in the degradation of native substrates of the UPS, which in many cases are short-lived nuclear transcription factors and regulatory proteins. One typical representative of this group is the c-Myc oncoprotein, which is rapidly degraded with a half-life of ∼20 to 30 min (19). First, we asked whether endogenous nuclear p62 colocalizes with endogenous c-Myc. IF staining of HeLa cells revealed that the two are indeed colocalized in p62 condensates (Fig. 5A). To further study the significance of this interaction, we examined whether nuclear p62 accelerates the degradation of c-Myc. As can be seen in Fig. 5B, this is indeed the case. The degradation of c-Myc in p62KO cells was slower than in both HeLa and p62KO + p62ΔNES cells (Fig. 5B, i). A slowed degradation was also observed when p62KO cells were reconstituted with the ΔPB1 and ΔUBA domain deletion mutants of nuclear p62 (Fig. 5B, ii). Further corroborating these observations were the higher steady-state levels of c-Myc in these cells (Fig. 5B, iii) and the increased intensity of its staining, as was visualized microscopically (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, it appears that in the absence of p62, c-Myc can be identified in the nucleolus, similarly to our model substrate NLS-GFP-CL1 (Fig. 3A and C) and is probably stored there. In the presence of p62, the nucleoli are emptied, and the substrates appear in p62 foci, where they are degraded. In addition, we observed that CHX treatment of p62KO + p62ΔNES cells results in a decrease in the level of c-Myc in p62 condensates compared to untreated cells (Fig. 5D). It is noteworthy that when c-Myc is degraded in the condensates, the merge with p62 disappears, and the remaining and stable p62 shows up again in its original red fluorescent emission (Fig. 5D, Middle line). The decrease is due to degradation of the protein by the proteasome, as it is inhibited by MG132 (Fig. 5D, Lower). An important question was whether the condensates also contain SCFFbw7, the main E3 ligase complex that ubiquitinates c-Myc (19). As can be seen in Fig. 5E, staining with specific antibodies to SCFFbw7 complex, which is composed of Cullin-1, Skp1, Rbx1, and F-box protein Fbw7, shows that the entire complex colocalizes with p62 in the condensates. Similar microscopic findings were also shown for c-Jun (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

Nuclear p62 Condensates Act as Part of the Cellular Protein Quality-Control (PQC) Mechanism. Various stress conditions such as oxidation, heat, exposure to heavy metals, and ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, cause damage to proteins. These proteins have to be removed efficiently in order to prevent cytotoxicity and cell death. They are first recognized and ubiquitinated by specific E3s and are subsequently degraded by the proteasome or the autophagic machinery (7). As p62 binds ubiquitinated proteins via its UBA domain, we hypothesized that stress-induced increase in ubiquitination should result in increased formation of p62 condensates. To test this, we subjected HeLa, p62KO, and p62KO + p62ΔNES cells to oxidative [induced by arsine trioxide (ATO) (20) or H2O2] and heat stress (HS), and monitored formation of Ub conjugates and p62 condensates. We found that the oxidative stress increased the amount of Ub conjugates bound to p62ΔNES (Fig. 6A), which resulted probably from an increase in their general level (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A, i and ii). As expected, the conjugates did not bind to the p62 mutant that lacks the UBA domain (5, 21), and bound less efficiently to the ΔPB1 deletion mutant and to p62K7R, which cannot oligomerize (14) (Fig. 6A). The increased interaction between nuclear p62 and Ub conjugates led, most probably, to an increase in both the number and size of p62 condensates (Fig. 6B, SI Appendix, Fig. S4B, and Movies S10–12) that was also accompanied by an increased recruitment of the proteasome to the foci (Fig. 6B, second and third columns). Importantly, pretreatment of the cells with an E1 inhibitor prior to the induction of the stress, abrogated the formation of the condensates (SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). When we subjected cells to a short, 30 min, osmotic stress by sucrose, we detected two types of proteasome-containing nuclear foci: 1) p62 condensates—the ones that are the main subject of this study—that are positive for both p62 and the proteasome (which is located around the condensate) (Fig. 6C, i, Upper Right, and Fig. 6C, ii, arrow 1); and 2) much smaller foci that are positive only for the proteasome (Fig. 6C, i, Upper Right, and Fig. 6C, ii, arrow 2). Additionally, we detected the appearance of newly formed small p62-only foci (Fig. 6C, i, Upper Right, and Fig. 6C, ii arrow 3). It appears that the small proteasomal foci fuse with either the large p62 condensates (Fig. 6C, ii, arrow 4) or with the small p62 foci (Fig. 6C, ii, arrow 5, SI Appendix, Fig. S4D, i, Upper line, and SI Appendix, Fig. S4D, ii, arrow 1), which might later fuse to one another to form new large functional p62 condensates (as shown in Fig. 1F and Movies S4 and S5). Importantly, it has been shown recently that sucrose treatment induces phase separation of the nuclear proteasome in a RAD23B-dependent manner (11). It appears that these foci are similar or identical to the small proteasome-only foci that we observe, and that importantly, the formation of which appears to be p62 independent. To show that p62 is not involved in sucrose-induced phase separation of the proteasome, we treated p62KO cells with sucrose. We found that the lack of p62 did not prevent phase separation of the nuclear proteasome (Fig. 6C, i, third and fourth lines, and Fig. 6C, iii, SI Appendix, Fig. S4D, i, Lower line, and SI Appendix, Fig. S4D, iii). Additionally, we found that the nature of the condensates is determined by the type of Ub chains involved in their formation. Proteasome-only condensates mostly contain K48-based chains, while p62 condensates contain both K48- and K63-based chains (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). Thus, it appears that the sucrose-induced condensates, described by Yasuda et al. (11), are formed by a different mechanism and might have a different function(s), thought they can fuse with already existing mature or newly formed p62 condensates.

To prevent aggregation of unfolded/damaged proteins, cells rely on PQC systems, which either refold them (a process...
mediated by chaperones such as HSP70 and HSP90) or target them for degradation by the proteasome or the autophagic machinery, following their ubiquitination by cochaperone(s)/E3 ligase(s) (such as CHIP) (7). Since p62 is known to interact with the multichaperone Hsc70/HSP70 complex via the cochaperone BAG3 and/or CHIP (7), we stained p62KO + p62ΔNES cells for different components of this PQC system. As can be seen in Fig. 6D, staining of p62 revealed that in the nucleus, it colocalizes with HSP70, HSP90, and CHIP. An important question relates to the possible role of these proteins in eliminating damaged proteins. To address this question, we subjected p62KO and p62KO + p62ΔNES cells to HS. As can be seen in Fig. 6E, the stress induced formation of Ub conjugates that were degraded more efficiently in cells that were reconstituted with p62. Of note is that the steady-state level of the conjugates was lower in the p62-reconstituted cells compared to their p62KO counterparts. When the cell recovers, its level in the condensates decreases as it is partially degraded along with its target substrates (22). Since the accumulation of misfolded or aggregated proteins in both the nucleus and nucleolus induces cytotoxicity and cell death (23, 24), we examined whether p62ΔNES protects the cells from HS-induced death. As can be clearly seen in Fig. 6H, the presence of p62 is important in protecting cells from apoptotic death during their recovery after HS.

Discussion

In the present study, we show that both under basal and stress conditions, nuclear p62 forms condensates in a process that is mediated by LLPS. These condensates serve as active proteolytic centers by sequestering the 26S proteasome and ubiquitinated substrates along with the conjugation machinery. We demonstrate that in the nuclear proteolytic p62 foci, the degradation of nuclear proteins, c-Myc for example, is stimulated. Similarly, the degradation of excess of free and unincorporated Rpn11 and β4...
Fig. 6. Nuclear p62 condensates are part of the PQC machinery. (A) ATO increases the UBA domain-mediated interaction of p62ΔNES with Ub-conjugated proteins. The precipitated p62 species with which the ubiquitin conjugates interacted, are shown in the Middle panel. (B) ATO, H$_2$O$_2$, and heat stress stimulate formation of p62ΔNES condensates and recruitment of the proteasome to these foci. (C) (i) Sucrose treatment induces p62-independent proteasome LLPS and subsequent fusion of the foci with p62ΔNES condensates (that most probably existed prior to the stress, but also were induced by the stress). (ii and iii) Fluorescent images (of the enlarged sections in C, i) and intensity tracings (along the dashed arrows) of α6 and p62 taken from the p62KO cells reconstituted with FLAG-p62ΔNES (ii) and the p62KO cells (iii). Arrows in ii point to different types of foci (see main text). (D) HSP70, HSP90, and CHIP localize in p62ΔNES condensates. (E) p62ΔNES accelerates the elimination of Ub conjugates induced by HS. (F) p62ΔNES recruits NLS-GFP-CL1 to p62 condensates (arrows) and therefore prevents its HS-induced accumulation in nucleoli (marked by circles). (G) RFP-Ub accumulates in p62 foci following HS. In the absence of p62, it appears in nucleoli. Cells were subjected to HS, and RFP-Ub was visualized immediately after or following 90 min at 37 °C. Arrows point to p62 foci. Circles denote nucleoli. (H) (i) p62ΔNES protects the RFP-Ub-transfected cells from heat-induced apoptosis. Cells were exposed to heat stress and moved to 37 °C for 24 h; (ii) measurements of apoptosis (three independent experiments) were carried out in RFP-Ub–expressing cells in the presence or absence of p62ΔNES (n > 500 cells in each condition; *P < 0.05).
proteasomal subunits is also more efficient. Furthermore, we show that p62 condensates play a role in cellular QC. Induction of formation of unfolded proteins by heat or oxidative stress results in recruitment of chaperones (HSP70 and HSP90) and the chaperone-dependent E3 ligase CHIP to the p62 condensates, with faster disappearance of the stress-induced Ub adducts.

Several problems remain unsolved, however. One relates to the distinct roles of p62 in the cytosol where it is involved in autophagy and in the nucleus where it is involved in proteasomal degradation. It is possible that different signals and adaptors (such as different Ub chains) are involved in these different processes. Functionally, most transcriptional regulators that are tightly regulated and short lived, are residing in the nucleus, and it is possible that the p62 foci that employ the highly specific ubiquitin system evolved mostly in this organelle, while autophagy that is mostly a response to stress and is less specific, evolved in the cytosol. Another one relates to the mechanism of assembly of the foci: What is the signal(s) that alerts cells for inducing foci formation in order to degrade a specific substrate(s)? It is possible that it is initiated by a target substrate on which a short Ub chain was assembled. This short chain is sufficient to recruit p62 (6), which in turn recruits the proteasome. In parallel, elongation of the chain is continued using E1, and the cognate E2 and E3, to generate a Ub chain(s) optimal for proteasomal activity. In that sense, the presence of DUBs that we have shown suggests the notion that the foci serve as efficient proteolytic centers. Another question relates to the composition of the foci and how they are adapted to changing pathophysiological conditions: Do E3s and E2s change with changing conditions and the need to degrade different substrates? A related problem is whether the foci can target, at the same time, different substrates, or are they substrate specific? Also, the role of the K63-based chains in the foci is not clear, although a role for these chains in targeting substrates to the proteasome has been reported (25). Further, it was shown that K63-based short chains can trigger degradation by seeding K48/K63 branched chains that serve as degradation signals (26). Since K63-based chains/target substrates are also involved in signaling, it is possible that the foci serve to sequester them as part of a regulatory mechanism. Most of these questions can be answered using visual and biochemical tools. Thus, uniquely tagging substrates and ligases can tell us whether they all colocalize to the same foci, or whether the condensates are substrate-specific.

One can argue that the expression of a nuclear-only p62 may affect the normal proteolytic processes in the cell, for example, by inhibiting cytosolic autophagy. We suggest that this is not the case, as even in an extreme case of p62-deficient cells, autophagy still proceeds and is probably enabled by proteins like NBR1 that have similar functions to that of p62 (27).

**Experimental Procedures**

Materials (purchased from commercial sources) and methods are described in SI Appendix, Supplementary Experimental Procedures.

---


